

Evidence for the $p + 1$ -algebra for super- p -brane

Davoud Kamani

Faculty of Physics, Amirkabir University of Technology (Tehran Polytechnic)

P.O.Box: 15875-4413, Tehran, Iran

e-mail: kamani@aut.ac.ir

Abstract

We express the actions of a super- p -brane, in the flat and in the curved superspaces, in terms of the Nambu $p+1$ -brackets. Therefore, due to these brackets, the $p+1$ -algebra structure for super- p -brane is manifested.

Keywords: Super- p -brane; $p + 1$ -algebra; Nambu $p + 1$ -bracket.

1 Introduction

Recent studies reveal that M2-branes have a description in terms of a 3-algebra, a generalization of a Lie algebra based on an antisymmetric triple product structure [1, 2, 3, 4]. That is, 3-algebra relations have played an important role in the construction of the worldvolume theories of multiple M2-branes which have attracted a great deal of attention [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. However, correspondence of 2-algebra to the string theory and 3-algebra to the $\mathcal{M}$ -theory can be understood from the dimensions of the string worldsheet and membrane worldvolume, as discussed in [6]. These imply that the description of the super- p -brane theory may require $p+1$ -algebra structure. In addition, the authors of Ref. [7] observed that there is an infinite dimensional volume preserving algebra of super- p -branes. This may be also related to the $p+1$ -algebra structure.

Therefore, we consider super- p -branes. The cases of $p=1$ and $p=2$ have been worked out in [6]. In the present paper, we generalize these results to generic values of p . That is, we study the super- p -branes on the basis of the $p+1$ -algebra. In other words, we expand the covariant actions of a super- p -brane, in the flat and in the curved superspaces, in terms of the Nambu $p+1$ -brackets. Note that the Nambu n -brackets are a way for realizing the Lie n -algebra [8], which was developed by Filippov [9]. For the flat case, this reconstruction of the action enables us to also reformulate it in terms of two sets of differential forms.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we reconstruct a covariant, $p+1$ -algebra based action for a super- p -brane in the flat superspace. In section 3, we reformulate the super- p -brane action in the curved superspace in terms of the $p+1$ -algebra. Section 4 is devoted to the conclusions.

2 The super- p -brane in the flat superspace, on the basis of the $p+1$ -algebra

2.1 The action

For the $p+1$ -algebra description of a super- p -brane propagating in the D -dimensional flat spacetime we begin with the following action [10],

$$S_p = T_p \int d^{p+1} \sigma (\mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2), \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \frac{1}{2(p+1)!} \phi^{-1} \langle \Pi^{\mu_1}, \Pi^{\mu_2}, \dots, \Pi^{\mu_{p+1}} \rangle \langle \Pi_{\mu_1}, \Pi_{\mu_2}, \dots, \Pi_{\mu_{p+1}} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \phi,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = -\frac{2}{(p+1)!} \epsilon^{i_1 \dots i_{p+1}} B_{i_1 \dots i_{p+1}}. \quad (2)$$

The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_1$ is the Schild type [11], *i.e.*, to take off the square root of the Nambu-Goto action the auxiliary scalar field ϕ has been introduced. $\mathcal{L}_2$ is the Wess-Zumino Lagrangian. The degrees of freedom are: the spacetime coordinates X^μ , the Majorana spinor θ and the scalar field ϕ . The indices $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{p+1} \in \{0, 1, \dots, D-1\}$ belong to the spacetime, while $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{p+1} \in \{0, 1, \dots, p\}$ indicate the $p+1$ directions of the brane worldvolume. The worldvolume coordinates are σ^i . The Dirac matrices are denoted by Γ^μ s. The metric of the spacetime is $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, \dots, 1)$. The tension of the brane is given by the constant T_p .

The variable Π_i^μ has the definition

$$\Pi_i^\mu = \partial_i X^\mu - i\bar{\theta}\Gamma^\mu\partial_i\theta, \quad (3)$$

which is supersymmetry invariant pull-back. In addition, we define

$$\langle \Pi^{\mu_1}, \Pi^{\mu_2}, \dots, \Pi^{\mu_{p+1}} \rangle = \epsilon^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{p+1}} \Pi_{i_1}^{\mu_1} \Pi_{i_2}^{\mu_2} \dots \Pi_{i_{p+1}}^{\mu_{p+1}}, \quad (4)$$

which is totally anti-symmetric.

2.2 Equations of motion and symmetries

For the fields ϕ , X^μ and θ the equations of motion are as in the following [7],

$$\begin{aligned} \phi - \sqrt{-g} &= 0, \\ \partial_i(\sqrt{-g}g^{ij}\Pi_j^\mu) - i\sqrt{-g}(-1)^{p(p+1)/2}\partial_i\bar{\theta}\Gamma^\mu\Gamma^{ij}\Gamma\partial_j\theta &= 0, \\ [1 - (-1)^p\Gamma]\Gamma^i\partial_i\theta &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where the induced metric g_{ij} is given by

$$g_{ij} = \Pi_i^\mu \Pi_j^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu}. \quad (6)$$

The determinant of this metric is denoted by $g = \det g_{ij}$, which is

$$g = \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \langle \Pi^{\mu_1}, \dots, \Pi^{\mu_{p+1}} \rangle \langle \Pi_{\mu_1}, \dots, \Pi_{\mu_{p+1}} \rangle. \quad (7)$$

In addition, the matrices Γ^i , Γ^{ij} and Γ have the definitions

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^i &= g^{ij}\Gamma_\mu\Pi_j^\mu, \\ \Gamma^{ij} &= g^{ik}g^{jl}\Gamma_{\mu\nu}\Pi_k^\mu\Pi_l^\nu, \\ \Gamma &= \frac{(-1)^{(p-2)(p-5)/4}}{(p+1)!\sqrt{-g}}\Gamma_{\mu_1\dots\mu_{p+1}}\langle \Pi^{\mu_1}, \dots, \Pi^{\mu_{p+1}} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The matrix Γ satisfies $\Gamma^2 = 1$.

Eliminating the auxiliary field ϕ through its equation of motion, the action (1) reduces to the action of [10]. However, we shall see that the equations of motion have expressions in terms of the $p + 1$ -algebra.

In addition to the worldvolume diffeomorphism invariance, the action also is invariant under the following transformations

$$\delta\theta = \varepsilon, \quad \delta X^\mu = i\bar{\varepsilon}\Gamma^\mu\theta, \quad \delta\phi = 0, \quad (9)$$

and

$$\delta_\kappa\theta = [1 + (\phi/\sqrt{-g})\Gamma]\kappa(\sigma), \quad \delta_\kappa X^\mu = i\bar{\theta}\Gamma^\mu\delta_\kappa\theta, \quad \delta_\kappa\phi = 4i\phi g^{ij}\Pi_i^\mu\partial_j\bar{\theta}\Gamma_\mu\kappa(\sigma). \quad (10)$$

The supersymmetry parameters ε and κ are spinors of the D -dimensional spacetime. The former is constant and the later is local. These transformations are agree with [6].

2.3 The action on the basis of the $p + 1$ -algebra

The Nambu $p + 1$ -bracket of the variables $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{p+1}$ is defined by

$$\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{p+1}\}_{\text{N.B}} = \epsilon^{i_1 \dots i_{p+1}} \partial_{i_1} \phi_1 \dots \partial_{i_{p+1}} \phi_{p+1}. \quad (11)$$

Therefore, in terms of the Nambu brackets Eq. (4) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Pi^{\mu_1}, \Pi^{\mu_2}, \dots, \Pi^{\mu_{p+1}} \rangle &= \{X^{\mu_1}, X^{\mu_2}, \dots, X^{\mu_{p+1}}\}_{\text{N.B}} \\ &- i(p+1)\bar{\theta}_\alpha \{(\Gamma^{[\mu_1}\theta)^\alpha, X^{\mu_2}, \dots, X^{\mu_{p+1}}]\}_{\text{N.B}} \\ &+ \frac{p(p+1)}{2}\bar{\theta}_\alpha \bar{\theta}_\beta \{(\Gamma^{[\mu_1}\theta)^\alpha, (\Gamma^{\mu_2}\theta)^\beta, X^{\mu_3}, \dots, X^{\mu_{p+1}}]\}_{\text{N.B}} \\ &- \frac{ip(p^2-1)}{6}\bar{\theta}_\alpha \bar{\theta}_\beta \bar{\theta}_\gamma \{(\Gamma^{[\mu_1}\theta)^\alpha, (\Gamma^{\mu_2}\theta)^\beta, (\Gamma^{\mu_3}\theta)^\gamma, X^{\mu_4}, \dots, X^{\mu_{p+1}}]\}_{\text{N.B}} \\ &+ \dots + \\ &+ i^{p+1}(-1)^{(p+1)(p+2)/2}\bar{\theta}_{\alpha_1}\bar{\theta}_{\alpha_2}\dots\bar{\theta}_{\alpha_{p+1}}\{(\Gamma^{\mu_1}\theta)^{\alpha_1}, (\Gamma^{\mu_2}\theta)^{\alpha_2}, \dots, (\Gamma^{\mu_{p+1}}\theta)^{\alpha_{p+1}}\}_{\text{N.B}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{p+1} \left[\binom{p+1}{n} i^n (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \bar{\theta}_{\alpha_1} \bar{\theta}_{\alpha_2} \dots \bar{\theta}_{\alpha_n} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \{(\Gamma^{[\mu_1}\theta)^{\alpha_1}, (\Gamma^{\mu_2}\theta)^{\alpha_2}, \dots, (\Gamma^{\mu_n}\theta)^{\alpha_n}, X^{\mu_{n+1}}, \dots, X^{\mu_{p+1}}]\}_{\text{N.B}} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where the bracket $[\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{p+1}]$ indicates the anti-symmetrization of the indices.

Introducing Eq. (12) into the equations of motion (5) and the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_1$ we obtain the $p+1$ -algebra expressions of them. The explicit form of $\mathcal{L}_1$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_1 = & \frac{1}{2(p+1)!} \phi^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{p+1} \sum_{m=0}^{p+1} \left[\binom{p+1}{n} \binom{p+1}{m} \right. \\ & \times i^{m+n} (-1)^{(m+n)(m+n+1)/2} \bar{\theta}_{\alpha_1} \cdots \bar{\theta}_{\alpha_n} \bar{\theta}_{\beta_1} \cdots \bar{\theta}_{\beta_m} \\ & \times \{(\Gamma^{[\mu_1} \theta)^{\alpha_1}, \dots, (\Gamma^{\mu_n} \theta)^{\alpha_n}, X^{\mu_{n+1}}, \dots, X^{\mu_{p+1}}\}_{\text{N.B.}} \\ & \left. \times \{(\Gamma_{[\mu_1} \theta)^{\beta_1}, \dots, (\Gamma_{\mu_m} \theta)^{\beta_m}, X_{\mu_{m+1}}, \dots, X_{\mu_{p+1}}\}_{\text{N.B.}} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \phi.\end{aligned}\quad (13)$$

After removing the coefficients $B_{i_1 \dots i_{p+1}}$ the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_2$ becomes [12],

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_2 = & -\frac{\eta}{(p+1)!} \epsilon^{i_1 \dots i_{p+1}} \bar{\theta} \Gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} \partial_{i_{p+1}} \theta \\ & \times \left[\sum_{r=0}^p i^{r+1} \binom{p+1}{r+1} (\bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\mu_1} \partial_{i_1} \theta) \cdots (\bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\mu_r} \partial_{i_r} \theta) \Pi_{i_{r+1}}^{\mu_{r+1}} \cdots \Pi_{i_p}^{\mu_p} \right],\end{aligned}\quad (14)$$

where η is

$$\eta = (-1)^{(p-1)(p+6)/4}.\quad (15)$$

In a similar fashion to $\mathcal{L}_1$, the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_2$ in terms of the Nambu brackets has the following expansion

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_2 = & -\frac{1}{(p+1)!} \sum_{r=0}^p \sum_{m=0}^{p-r} \left[\binom{p+1}{r+1} \binom{p-r}{m} \right. \\ & \times i^{p-m+1} (-1)^{K_{r,m}} \bar{\theta}_{\alpha_1} \cdots \bar{\theta}_{\alpha_r} \bar{\theta}_{\alpha_{r+m+1}} \cdots \bar{\theta}_{\alpha_p} \bar{\theta}_{\alpha_{p+1}} \\ & \times \{(\Gamma^{\mu_1} \theta)^{\alpha_1}, \dots, (\Gamma^{\mu_r} \theta)^{\alpha_r}, X^{\mu_{r+1}}, \dots, X^{\mu_{r+m}}, \\ & \left. (\Gamma^{\mu_{r+m+1}} \theta)^{\alpha_{r+m+1}}, \dots, (\Gamma^{\mu_p} \theta)^{\alpha_p}, (\Gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} \theta)^{\alpha_{p+1}}\}_{\text{N.B.}} \right],\end{aligned}\quad (16)$$

where $K_{r,m}$ is

$$K_{r,m} = p + \frac{1}{4}(p-1)(p+6) + \frac{1}{2}[r(r-1) + (p-r-m)(p+r-m+1)].\quad (17)$$

Let $Z^M = (X^\mu, \theta^\alpha)$ denote the coordinates of the target space of the super- p -brane. The worldvolume form $B_{i_1 \dots i_{p+1}}$ is pull-back, *i.e.*,

$$B_{i_1 \dots i_{p+1}} = \partial_{i_1} Z^{M_1} \cdots \partial_{i_{p+1}} Z^{M_{p+1}} B_{M_{p+1} \dots M_1},\quad (18)$$

where $B_{M_{p+1} \dots M_1}$ are components of a $p+1$ -form potential in the superspace. Therefore, the other $p+1$ -algebra expression of $\mathcal{L}_2$ is

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = -\frac{2}{(p+1)!} \{Z^{M_1}, \dots, Z^{M_{p+1}}\}_{\text{N.B.}} B_{M_{p+1} \dots M_1}.\quad (19)$$

According to (13), (16) and (19) all the derivatives have been absorbed in the Nambu $p+1$ -brackets. Thus, the $p+1$ -algebra structure is manifested.

2.4 The action in terms of the differential forms

The $p+1$ -algebra description of a super- p -brane enables us to write the action $S_p = S_p^{(1)} + S_p^{(2)}$ in the language of differential forms

$$\begin{aligned}
S_p^{(1)} &= \frac{T_p}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{p+1} \sum_{m=0}^{p+1} \left\{ \binom{p+1}{n} \binom{p+1}{m} i^{m+n} (-1)^{(m+n)(m+n+1)/2} \int_{w.v.} \phi^{-1} A_{(m,n)}|_{w.v.} \right\} \\
&\quad - \frac{T_p}{2} \int_{w.v.} d^{p+1} \sigma \phi, \\
S_p^{(2)} &= -T_p \sum_{r=0}^p \sum_{m=0}^{p-r} \left\{ \binom{p+1}{r+1} \binom{p-r}{m} i^{p-m+1} (-1)^{K_{r,m}} \int_{w.v.} C_{(r,m)}|_{w.v.} \right\}, \quad (20)
\end{aligned}$$

where the restriction $|_{w.v.}$ means pull-back of the wedge-products on the worldvolume of the super- p -brane. The differential $p+1$ -forms are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{(m,n)} &= \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \{Y_{[\mu_1}, \dots, Y_{\mu_m}, X_{\mu_{m+1}}, \dots, X_{\mu_{p+1}}]\}_{N.B.} \times \\
&\quad dY^{[\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dY^{\mu_n} \wedge dX^{\mu_{n+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge dX^{\mu_{p+1}}], \\
C_{(r,m)} &= \frac{1}{(p+1)!} dY^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dY^{\mu_r} \wedge dX^{\mu_{r+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge dX^{\mu_{r+m}} \\
&\quad \wedge dY^{\mu_{r+m+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge dY^{\mu_p} \wedge dZ_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}. \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

The variable Y^μ and the anti-symmetric tensor $Z_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
Y^\mu &= \bar{\theta} \Gamma^\mu \theta, \\
Z_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} &= \bar{\theta} \Gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} \theta. \quad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

According to these, the wedge-products define differential forms in the superspace.

Since $\{X^\mu(\tau; \sigma^1, \dots, \sigma^p)\} \cup \{\theta^\alpha(\tau; \sigma^1, \dots, \sigma^p)\}$ are coordinates of the worldvolume of the super- p -brane in the superspace, the actions $S_p^{(1)}$ and $S_p^{(2)}$ imply that the super- p -brane is coupled to the potential forms $\{A_{(m,n)}|m, n = 0, 1, \dots, p+1\}$ and $\{C_{(r,m)}|m = 0, 1, \dots, p-r; r = 0, 1, \dots, p\}$.

3 The super- p -brane in the curved superspace

Assume the target space of the super- p -brane to be a curved supermanifold with $E_M^A(Z)$ as its corresponding supervielbeins. The $A = a, \alpha$ are the tangent space indices. Then the super- p -brane action is given by

$$I_p = -T_p \int d^{p+1} \sigma \left(\sqrt{-\det(E_i^a E_j^b \eta_{ab})} + \frac{2}{(p+1)!} \epsilon^{i_1 \dots i_{p+1}} E_{i_1}^{A_1} \dots E_{i_{p+1}}^{A_{p+1}} B_{A_{p+1} \dots A_1} \right), \quad (23)$$

where

$$E_i^A = \partial_i Z^M E_M^A, \quad (24)$$

is the pull-back of the supervielbeins E_M^A . The field $B_{A_{p+1}\dots A_1}(Z)$ is the superspace $p+1$ -form potential. In fact, due to the κ -symmetry of the action, only special values of p and D are allowable, see [13] and references therein.

In this action the $p+1$ -algebra also can be introduced. Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det(E_i^a E_j^b \eta_{ab}) &= \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \langle E^{a_1}, \dots, E^{a_{p+1}} \rangle \langle E_{a_1}, \dots, E_{a_{p+1}} \rangle, \\ \langle E^{a_1}, \dots, E^{a_{p+1}} \rangle &= \epsilon^{i_1 \dots i_{p+1}} E_{i_1}^{a_1} \dots E_{i_{p+1}}^{a_{p+1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

the action (20) can be reformulated in terms of the Nambu $p+1$ -brackets

$$\begin{aligned} I_p &= -T_p \int d^{p+1} \sigma \left\{ \left(-\frac{1}{(p+1)!} E_{M_1}^{a_1} \dots E_{M_{p+1}}^{a_{p+1}} E_{N_1}^{b_1} \dots E_{N_{p+1}}^{b_{p+1}} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \times \{Z^{M_1}, \dots, Z^{M_{p+1}}\}_{\text{N.B}} \{Z^{N_1}, \dots, Z^{N_{p+1}}\}_{\text{N.B}} \eta_{a_1 b_1} \dots \eta_{a_{p+1} b_{p+1}} \left. \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2}{(p+1)!} E_{M_1}^{A_1} \dots E_{M_{p+1}}^{A_{p+1}} \{Z^{M_1}, \dots, Z^{M_{p+1}}\}_{\text{N.B}} B_{A_{p+1} \dots A_1} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

The novelty of this reformulation is the appearance of the $p+1$ -algebra.

4 Conclusions

In the first part of this manuscript for a super- p -brane in the flat superspace, we expressed its action and the corresponding equations of motion in terms of the Nambu $p+1$ -brackets. In the second part, for a super- p -brane which lives in the curved superspace, we obtained the Nambu $p+1$ -bracket expression of the action.

In both cases all the derivatives appeared through the Nambu $p+1$ -brackets and hence it manifested the $p+1$ -algebra structure for the super- p -brane theory. This is related to the facts that: 1) the (supersymmetric) p -brane action is invariant under the $p+1$ -dimensional diffeomorphisms and 2) the Nambu $p+1$ -brackets are generators of the $p+1$ -dimensional diffeomorphisms.

Finally for the flat superspace, we found two sets of the differential $p+1$ -forms which couple to the super- p -brane.

References

- [1] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, Phys. Rev. **D75** (2007) 045020, hep-th/0611108.

- [2] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, Phys. Rev. **D77** (2008) 065008, arXiv: 0711.0955 [hep-th].
- [3] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, JHEP **0802** (2008) 105, arXiv: 0712.3738 [hep-th].
- [4] A. Gustavsson, Nucl. Phys. **B811** (2009) 66, arXiv: 0709.1260 [hep-th].
- [5] A. Gustavsson, JHEP **0804** (2008) 083, arXiv:0802.3456 [hep-th]; S. Mukhi and C. Pappageorgakis, JHEP **05** (2008) 085, arXiv:0803.3218 [hep-th]; M.A. Bandres, A.E. Lipstein and J.H. Schwarz, JHEP **05** (2008) 025, arXiv:0803.3242 [hep-th]; D.S. Berman, L.C. Tadowski and D.C. Thompson, Nucl. Phys. **B802** (2008) 106, arXiv:0803.3611 [hep-th]; M. Van Raamsdonk, JHEP **05** (2008) 105, arXiv:0803.3803 [hep-th]; A. Morozov, JHEP **05** (2008) 076, arXiv:0804.0913 [hep-th]; N. Lambert and D. Tong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 041602, arXiv:0804.1114 [hep-th]; U. Gran, B.E.W. Nilsson and C. Petersson, JHEP **0810** (2008) 067, arXiv:0804.1784 [hep-th]; J. Gomis, A.J. Salim and F. Passerini, JHEP **0808** (2008) 002, arXiv:0804.2186 [hep-th]; E.A. Bergshoeff, M. de Roo and O. Hohm, Class. Quant. Grav. **25** (2008) 142001, arXiv:0804.2201 [hep-th]; K. Hosomichi, K.-M. Lee and S. Lee, Phys. Rev. **D78** (2008) 066015, arXiv:0804.2519 [hep-th]; G. Papadopoulos, JHEP **05** (2008) 054, JHEP **0805** (2008) 054, arXiv:0804.2662 [hep-th]; Class. Quant. Grav. **25** (2008) 142002, arXiv:0804.3567 [hep-th]; J.P. Gauntlett and J.B. Gutowski, arXiv:0804.3078 [hep-th]; P.-M. Ho and Y. Matsuo, JHEP **0806** (2008) 105, arXiv:0804.3629 [hep-th]; J. Gomis, G. Milanesi and J.G. Russo, JHEP **06** (2008) 075, arXiv:0805.1012 [hep-th]; S. Benvenuti, D. Rodriguez-Gomez, E. Tonni and H. Verlinde, JHEP **0901** (2009) 078, arXiv:0805.1087 [hep-th]; P.-M. Ho, Y. Imamura and Y. Matsuo, JHEP **0807** (2008) 003, arXiv:0805.1202 [hep-th]; A. Morozov, JETPLett.87:659-662,2008, arXiv:0805.1703 [hep-th]; Y. Honma, S. Iso Y. Sumitomo and S. Zhang, Phys. Rev. **D78** (2008) 025027, arXiv:0805.1895 [hep-th]; H. Fuji, S. Terashima and M. Yamazaki, Nucl. Phys. **B810** (2009) 354, arXiv:0805.1997 [hep-th]; P.-M. Ho, Y. Imamura, Y. Matsuo and S. Shiba, JHEP **0808** (2008) 014, arXiv:0805.2898 [hep-th]; C. Krishnan and C. Maccaferri, JHEP **0807** (2008) 005, arXiv:0805.3125 [hep-th]; I. Jeon, J. Kim, N. Kim, S.-W. Kim and J.-H. Park, JHEP **0807** (2008) 056, arXiv:0805.3236 [hep-th]; J.-H. Park and C. Sochichiu, arXiv:0806.0335 [hep-th]; M. Li and T. Wang, JHEP **0807** (2008) 093, arXiv:0805.3427 [hep-th]; S. Banerjee and A. Sen, arXiv:0805.3930 [hep-th]; J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, P. de Medeiros and E. Mendez-Escobar, JHEP **0807** (2008) 111, arXiv:0805.4363 [hep-th]; M.A. Bandres, A.E. Lipstein and J.H. Schwarz, JHEP **0807** (2008) 117, arXiv:0806.0054 [hep-th]; F. Passerini, JHEP **0808** (2008) 062, arXiv:0806.0363 [hep-th]; J. Gomis, D.

Rodriguez-Gomez, M. Van Raamsdonk and H. Verlinde, JHEP **0808** (2008) 094, arXiv:0806.0738 [hep-th]; S. Cecotti and A. Sen, arXiv:0806.1990 [hep-th]; A. Mauri and A. C. Petkou, Phys. Lett. **B666** (2008) 527, arXiv:0806.2270 [hep-th]; E. A. Bergshoeff, M. de Roo, O. Hohm, and D. Roest, JHEP **0808** (2008) 091, arXiv:0806.2584 [hep-th]; P. de Medeiros, J. Figueroa-O'Farrill and E. Mendez-Escobar, JHEP **0808** (2008) 045, arXiv:0806.3242 [hep-th]; M. Blau and M. O'Loughlin, JHEP **0809** (2008) 112, arXiv:0806.3253 [hep-th]; C. Sochichiu, arXiv:0806.3520 [hep-th]; J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, arXiv:0806.3534 [math.RT]; K. Furuuchi, S.-Y. D. Shih and T. Takimi, JHEP **0808** (2008) 072, arXiv:0806.4044 [hep-th]; P.-M. Ho, R.-C. Hou, and Y. Matsuo, JHEP **06** (2008) 020, arXiv:0804.2110 [hep-th]; I.A. Bandos and P.K. Townsend, Class. Quant. Grav. **25** (2008) 245003, arXiv:0806.4777 [hep-th]; JHEP **0902** (2009) 013, arXiv:0808.1583 [hep-th]; J. Distler, S. Mukhi, C. Papageorgakis and M. Van Raamsdonk, JHEP **0805** (2008) 038, arXiv:0804.1256 [hep-th]; M. Ali-Akbari, M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari and J. Simon, JHEP **0812** (2008) 037, arXiv:0807.1570 [hep-th]; H.S. Yang, Phys. Rev. **D58** (1998) 085018, hep-th/9806112; K. Furuuchi and D. Tomino, JHEP **0905** (2009) 070, arXiv:0902.2041 [hep-th]; G. Bonelli, A. Tanzini and M. Zabzine, Phys. Lett. **B672** (2009) 390, arXiv:0807.5113 [hep-th].

[6] K. Lee and J.-H. Park, JHEP **0904** (2009) 012, arXiv:0902.2417 [hep-th].

[7] E. Bergshoeff, E. Sezgin Y. Tanii and P.K. Townsend, Ann. Phys. **199** (1990) 340.

[8] Y. Nambu, Phys. Rev. **D7** (1973) 2405.

[9] V.T. Filippov, Sib. Mat. Zh. **26** (1985) 126-140.

[10] E. Bergshoeff, E. Sezgin and P.K. Townsend, Phys. Lett. **B189** (1987) 75.

[11] A. Schild, Phys. Rev. **D16** (1977) 1722.

[12] J.M. Evans, Class. Quant. Grav. **5** (1988) L87.

[13] M. Duff, Class. Quant. Grav. **6** (1989) 1577.